.

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA 3 United States of America, 4 Plaintiff, 5 VS. CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 6 3:23-cr-6 7 Robert F. Crosson, 8 Defendant. 9 10 Proceedings had in the initial appearance/bond revocation hearing of the above-styled action on January 31, 2024, before Honorable Robert W. Trumble, Magistrate Judge, at Martinsburg, 11 West Virginia. 12 1.3 APPEARANCES: 14 On behalf of the United States of America: 15 DeMarr Moulton (via Zoom videoconference) Assistant United States Attorney 16 United States Attorney's Office 700 Grant Street, Suite 4000 17 Pittsburgh, PA 14219 412.894.7434 18 19 On behalf of the Defendant: 20 Kristen M. Leddy Assistant Federal Public Defender 21 Federal Public Defender's Office 651 Foxcroft Avenue, Suite 202 Martinsburg, WV 25401 2.2 304.260.9421 23 24 The defendant was present in person. 2.5 Proceedings recorded utilizing tape. Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription. Cindy L. Knecht, RMR/CRR/CBC/CCP PO Box 326 Wheeling, WV 26003 304.234.3968

1 Wednesday Afternoon Session, 2 January 31, 2024, 1:00 p.m. 3 4 THE CLERK: This is the case of the United States of 5 America versus Robert Crosson, Criminal Number 3:23-CR-6, 6 defendant one. 7 Will the parties please note their appearance for the record. 8 9 MR. MOULTON: Good afternoon, Your Honor. DeMarr 10 Moulton for the government. 11 MS. LEDDY: Kristen Leddy, Assistant Federal Public 12 Defender, for Mr. Crosson. 1.3 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. We're 14 scheduled for an initial appearance and then a bond revocation 15 hearing in this matter for today. And as an initial procedural 16 matter that we need to take care of, the United States Attorney 17 is not present in the courtroom with the defendant. 18 Does the defendant have any objection to allowing the United States Attorney to appear by video in this matter? 19 20 MS. LEDDY: No objection, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 2.2 Let's get started with the initial appearance first. 23 Mr. Crosson, do you understand English, sir? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25 THE COURT: My name is Robert Trumble. I am a United Cindy L. Knecht, RMR/CRR/CBC/CCP

PO Box 326 Wheeling, WV 26003 304.234.3968

States Magistrate Judge. I'd like you to stand and be sworn by the clerk, please.

(Defendant sworn.)

1.3

2.2

THE COURT: All right. You are a citizen of the United States?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Sir, all persons are brought before a judge as soon as possible after their arrest. This is an initial appearance. At this stage, it's not a determination of guilt or innocence, but the purpose for initial appearance is to let you know the violations against you, to let you know you have a right to an attorney, and determine whether you'll be released or detained pending your bond revocation hearing or whether we -- pending your bond revocation hearing.

You are charged with violating the terms and conditions of your pretrial release by the following:

Specifically, condition 7(n), that you should not use or unlawfully possess a narcotic drug or other controlled substance. Specifically, it says that on January 2, 2024, your pretrial services officer received information that you had tested positive for cocaine on December 27, 2023. On January 8, 2024, your pretrial services officer received a urinalysis from your supervising pretrial officer in the Eastern District of New York reflecting a positive result for cocaine on December 27, 2023.

Cindy L. Knecht, RMR/CRR/CBC/CCP  $\label{eq:condition} \mbox{PO Box } 326 \mbox{ Wheeling, } \mbox{WV} \mbox{ } 26003 \mbox{ } 304.234.3968$ 

1.3

2.2

Now, there had been a prior instance back in September that's also mentioned in this petition that your pretrial services officer had received from the supervising officer, that on September 27, 2023, you had provided a drug-testing sample which returned positive cocaine, and that you'd made a verbal admission to using cocaine on September 19.

So those -- that is the charge as set forth in this particular petition. Should the Court find that you are guilty of those violations, the potential penalties are revocation of your release, an order of detention, and prosecution for contempt of court.

I've provided for you in written form your constitutional rights. It should be on the table in front of you. I'm going to read them to you, and I'll ask that you follow along.

You have a constitutional right to remain silent. If you give up your right to remain silent, anything you say can and will be used against you in this or any other court of law. Even if you may have given a statement to the police or others, you have a constitutional right to make no further statement to the authorities. If you start to make a statement, you have a constitutional right to stop in mid-word or -sentence and say no more.

You have a right to counsel to assist you in this matter. If you can not afford an attorney, you may qualify to

have an attorney appointed to represent you. And whether appointed or retained, you have the right to the assistance of counsel at every stage of the proceedings against you and during any questioning by the authorities.

Sir, do you understand your constitutional rights as I've read them to you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1.3

2.2

THE COURT: You have a right to -- you have counsel present for you, so we do not have -- and you have a right to have your attorney present for your bond revocation hearing, and I note that Ms. Leddy is in the courtroom and we've scheduled these so that you're coming from the Eastern District of New York, so we wanted to try to schedule your initial and your bond revocation hearing at the same time. Ms. Leddy was notified and was present. But you do not have a right to a preliminary hearing in this matter, so we'll move then to -- that concludes the initial appearance.

We'll move to the bond revocation hearing, and as we do, I note that I have a waiver as to the violations. And then this is a two-step process where we actually have to determine whether there's been a crime that's been committed which is a violation or whether there's clear and convincing evidence the defendant has violated a condition of release.

In this case, I have a waiver as to this first provision, so Ms. Leddy, let me ask you, have you talked with

```
1
     the defendant about the purpose for -- or the procedure for
 2
     which a revocation proceeding is conducted?
 3
               MS. LEDDY: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: And he understands that this merely
 5
     waives any contest as to whether or not a violation has
 6
     occurred?
 7
               MS. LEDDY: That's correct, Your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT: And he's reserving his right to contest
 9
     whether or not his bond should be revoked; is that correct?
10
               MS. LEDDY: Yes, Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: All right.
12
               Mr. Crosson, you understand that this -- that I have
1.3
     a waiver in my hand as to the violation portion of this
14
     hearing, and you have discussed that with Ms. Leddy?
15
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
               THE COURT: And you understand that by signing this
16
17
     waiver, you are conceding that a violation of your pretrial
18
     release has in fact occurred; is that correct?
19
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20
               THE COURT: And you've agreed to it by signing the
21
     waiver; is that correct?
2.2
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23
               THE COURT: I find that the waiver has been properly
24
     executed and direct that it be filed.
               Now we turn to the issue of whether or not bond
2.5
```

Cindy L. Knecht, RMR/CRR/CBC/CCP  $\label{eq:condition} \mbox{PO Box 326} \quad \mbox{Wheeling, WV} \quad \mbox{26003} \quad \mbox{304.234.3968}$ 

```
should be revoked, and the standard there is whether there are
 1
 2
     a condition or a combination of conditions of release that will
 3
     assure the defendant will not flee or pose a danger to the
 4
     safety of any other person or the community or, quite frankly,
 5
     whether there are any conditions that the defendant will abide
 6
    by or a combination of conditions that the defendant will abide
 7
    by.
 8
               So are the parties prepared to proceed as to that
 9
     segment of the hearing?
10
               MS. LEDDY: Yes, Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Moulton, what say you,
12
     sir?
               MR. MOULTON: Your Honor --
1.3
14
               THE COURT: You're going -- Mr. Moulton, you're
15
     either going to have to speak into the microphone -- we're
16
     getting about every other statement that's coming out of your
17
    mouth, so climb a little closer there and make sure you talk
18
     directly into your microphone.
19
               MR. MOULTON: Can you hear me?
20
               THE COURT: That's much better. Thank you.
21
               MR. MOULTON: Your Honor, we're not asking for him to
2.2
    be detained. The government would concur with what I believe
23
    probation's recommendation is. There are some conditions that
24
     can give Mr. Crosson to be abiding by in lieu of jail time and
```

 $\label{eq:cindy} \mbox{L. Knecht, } \mbox{RMR/CRR/CBC/CCP}$  PO Box 326 Wheeling, WV 26003 304.234.3968

I'll let probation discuss that, but more testing, more

2.5

programming, treatment, or something like that.

1.3

THE COURT: Okay. Well, all right. Thank you.

Well, you know, that becomes a little bit of a problem. It sounds like the government is not seeking to revoke bond in this case and -- but it's not really the government's option to do that. It's really my option. It's my bond, and it's my issue that I have in terms of whether or not Mr. Crosson's capable of following any of the conditions that I've set.

The track record is not good so far. And as a matter of fact, here's the issue that we have in this case.

Procedurally, Mr. Crosson pled to a charge which, when he went to sentencing, Judge Groh rejected that and ordered us to come back, and now he's pled to two additional charges.

Now, after the first plea, he consumed cocaine and was violated at that time in terms of a report being filed, and now we have a second instance where the same exact thing has occurred and, again, it's after he has pled guilty to these charges.

So I'm a little bit befuddled as to exactly what condition that I can set that will get Mr. Crosson to start abiding by the order set forth in my conditions as set forth in my order. This is becoming a pattern for which it's an unacceptable type of behavior.

Ms. Leddy, how do you respond to that?

Cindy L. Knecht, RMR/CRR/CBC/CCP
PO Box 326 Wheeling, WV 26003 304.234.3968

1.3

2.2

2.5

MS. LEDDY: So, Your Honor, following the second positive for cocaine, which Mr. Crosson admitted to his probation officer in New York, he was referred to Counsel Services of Eastern District of New York specifically for the purpose of drug treatment, substance abuse treatment. He has been assessed through that program and he is to begin therapy through them upon his return to New York.

This is a new treatment that he has not undergone in the past, and I believe it could alleviate the Court's concerns with regard to his -- any future drug use.

We concur with the probation officer's statement that this is a condition that would allow him, if the Court were willing to modify it, the terms of his bond, to come into compliance with this Court's requirements for his terms and conditions. Thank you.

THE COURT: Where is this program? What is the name of the program?

MS. LEDDY: The name of the program, Your Honor, is called Counsel Services of the Eastern District of New York.

And it's been arranged through his probation office, the local supervising probation officer in New York.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. DeHaven, you're the local supervising officer for this defendant; is that correct?

THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And you've been in contact with the

Cindy L. Knecht, RMR/CRR/CBC/CCP
PO Box 326 Wheeling, WV 26003 304.234.3968

```
supervising officer in the Eastern District of New York?
 1
 2
               THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: Is this an inpatient program, or is this
 4
    a -- just a counseling program of some type?
 5
               THE PROBATION OFFICER: I believe it's an outpatient
 6
     counseling program, Your Honor, that's contracted through their
 7
     office.
 8
               THE COURT: And the supervising officer in New York
     is on board with this as an additional condition?
 9
10
               THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Is it really an additional condition, or
12
     is it already in there that he is to participate in treatment
1.3
     as prescribed by his pretrial services officer?
14
               THE PROBATION OFFICER: I don't think the Court would
15
    have to modify the conditions to allow Mr. Crosson's attendance
     to be under the umbrella of the conditions unless --
16
17
               THE COURT: Yeah. It looks like condition 7(o) says
18
     participate in a program of inpatient or outpatient substance
19
     abuse therapy. Is that what this is?
20
               THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Judge.
21
               THE COURT: So there really doesn't need to to be a
22
    modification. He just needs to attend this as required; is
23
     that right?
24
               THE PROBATION OFFICER: Correct, Your Honor.
25
               THE COURT: So if he doesn't do it, that would be
               Cindy L. Knecht, RMR/CRR/CBC/CCP
```

PO Box 326

Wheeling, WV

26003 304.234.3968

```
1
     another violation, wouldn't it?
 2
               THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Judge.
 3
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 4
               THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: Well, see, again, that presents another
 6
     dilemma that we have here, because it's already been a
 7
     condition, although he hasn't been directed to. That's kind of
 8
     one of those things that if a defendant has a particular issue
     or feels they have an issue, they ought to take it upon
10
     themselves, incumbent upon themselves, to seek that type of
11
     treatment or ask for that type of treatment. Mr. Crosson
12
    hasn't taken upon himself to do so thus far, so now it has to
1.3
     be imposed by your pretrial services officer with the thought
14
     that maybe this time he will abide by the conditions of
15
     release.
16
               So Mr. Crosson, if I don't take away your freedom now
17
     and incarcerate you and revoke your bond, do you think that you
     can comply with the terms and conditions of my order this time?
18
19
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: You understand that there is a couple of
21
     expressions that I can use, how many bites of the apple do you
2.2
    have, fool me once, shame on me, fool me twice, shame on you,
23
     or the same -- I think it's fool me once, shame on you, fool me
24
     twice, shame on me. You know. I think we're getting into the
25
     second and third, and I don't know what the third variation of
```

Cindy L. Knecht, RMR/CRR/CBC/CCP  $\label{eq:condition} \mbox{PO Box } 326 \mbox{ Wheeling, } \mbox{WV} \mbox{ } 26003 \mbox{ } 304.234.3968$ 

that is, but the idea being is that we keep coming back with the same thing, and every time you say, yeah, I'll comply with it, and then all of a sudden I get another report and say you don't. So you know what my option is if there is a next time, don't you, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1.3

2.2

2.5

THE COURT: Yeah. So I suggest that you follow the terms and conditions of my order setting conditions of release to the letter of the law, or otherwise there won't be another opportunity to come back and take a bite of the apple or to fool me or not follow the conditions of my order.

So with that in mind, the Court will find that there are conditions that can be set that will assure that the danger -- the defendant will not flee or pose a danger to the community and that he's likely to abide by the conditions as previously set forth in the order setting conditions of release which require him to attend an outpatient treatment service as prescribed by his supervising officer in the Eastern District of New York.

The Court will note that if there's any further violations of the petition, we will conduct a bond revocation hearing, but it will be a very short hearing as much as the Court's tolerance for this type of behavior has worn very thin at this point.

So with that in mind, the defendant will be released

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

13

```
pursuant to the order setting conditions of release,
emphasizing that he is to attend his outpatient treatment as
prescribed by his pretrial services officer, and any future
violations will be reported to the Court promptly.
          MS. LEDDY:
                     Thank you, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: As a housekeeping matter I do have a
videoconferencing waiver. I put that on the record that you
waived counsel -- the United States government's attorney
appearance by video conferencing waiver, but this is a waiver
that you've signed?
         MS. LEDDY: That's correct, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: All right. And we've already discussed
that, so we'll go ahead and have the order -- the
videoconferencing waiver filed.
          All right. Anything further we need to address
before we adjourn this afternoon?
          MR. MOULTON: Not from the government, Your Honor.
         MS. LEDDY: Nothing from the defense, Your Honor.
          THE COURT: All right. With that in mind, the
defendant is released pursuant to the order setting conditions
of release as addressed by the Court and we stand adjourned.
Thank you.
           (Proceedings concluded at 1:25 p.m.)
```

Cindy L. Knecht, RMR/CRR/CBC/CCP  $\label{eq:condition} \mbox{PO Box } 326 \mbox{ Wheeling, } \mbox{WV} \mbox{ } 26003 \mbox{ } 304.234.3968$ 

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, Cindy L. Knecht, Registered Professional Reporter 4 and Official Reporter of the United States District Court for 5 the Northern District of West Virginia, do hereby certify that 6 the foregoing is a true and correct transcript to the best of 7 my ability of the taped proceedings had in the above-styled 8 action on January 31, 2024, as reported by me in stenotypy. 9 I certify that the transcript fees and format comply with 10 those prescribed by the Court and Judicial Conference of the 11 United States. 12 Given under my hand this 28th day of May 2024. 1.3 /s/Cindy L. Knecht 14 Cindy L. Knecht, RMR/CRR Official Reporter, United States 15 District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24

2.5